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ABSTRACT

Human Resource Practice is a relatively new concept in Turkey. This paper documents the results of our empirical study on the determinants of human resource practices of 217 companies in the Turkish manufacturing industry. Therefore, this study aims at contributing to theory building by examining the determinants of human resource activities of recruiting and selection, training and development, compensation and benefits, performance appraisal, career development, and industrial relations empirically in the context of internal and external environments in which these activities take place. Our initial focus was on human resource activities of the firms considered, but the use of an empirical approach allowed also the inspection of the determinants of HR activities. This approach also assisted in identifying underlying relationships that could be interpreted as linkages among human resource activities and other organizational and environmental factors. It was possible to identify linkages between six major HR activities, and factors identified as internal, external, and control variables.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A review of literature reveals that there has been an intense interest in last three decades in research on human resource (HR) practices. That drive for research has been fed by the use of different configurations of HR practices by companies (Gonzales and Tacoronte, 2006). At a theoretical level, many writers
have suggested a number of competing explanations as to what determines HR practices (Miles and Snow, 1984; Tsui and Milkovich, 1987; Jackson et al., 1989; Milkovich and Bouderau, 1991). Organizations have many options when designing their HR practices (Jackson et al., 1989). However, there has been few explanatory research projects designed to identify the conditions that affect these choices. Empirical studies group the factors affecting HR practices as internal and external factors. Some of the factors such as international and national economic changes, technological changes, national culture/traditions, industry/sector characteristics, legislation/regulations, actions of competitors, and actions of unions that might affect the adoption of particular HR practices are taken as external to organizations, while the factors such as organization’s size, organizational structure, business strategy, HR strategy, history, traditions and past practices, top management, line management, power and politics, and academic/professional influences are considered as internal to organizations.

This study aims at determining internal and external factors affecting HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing industry in the framework of the constituency perspective developed by Tsui and Milkovich (1987). We first review the existing conceptual bases of HR practices. Then, we conduct an empirical study in order to determine the factors affecting HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing firms.

2. CONCEPTUAL BASES OF HUMAN RESOURCE PRACTICES

Our survey of HR literature has suggested a number of competing theoretical explanations as to what determines HR practices. The main approaches can be categorized as follows: 1) institutional perspective (Meyer, 1980; Tolbert and Zucker, 1983), 2) political perspective (Pfeffer and Cohen, 1984; Osterman, 1987), 3) constituency theory perspective (Tsui and Milkovich, 1987; Crow et al., 1995), 4) strategic human resource management perspective (Baird and Meshoulam, 1988; Delery and Doty, 1996), 5) behavioral perspective (Jackson et al., 1989), 6) management by competencies perspective (Spencer and Sepencer, 1993), 7) best practices perspective (Terpstra and Rozell, 1993; Pfeffer, 1994), and 8) management of intellectual capital perspective (Becker et al., 2001). In this study we follow the constituency perspective of Tsui and Milkovich (1987) because they analyze factors affecting HR practices in a comprehensive manner. They initially construct three separate theoretical perspectives: a) structural functionalism perspective, b) strategic constituency perspective, and c) strategic HR management perspective. They then offer a multiple constituency approach. In this study, following Tsui and Milkovich (1987)’s theoretical classifications, the different perspectives are first analyzed in order to determine HR practices suggested by each perspective and the determinants of these practices. This framework is then used to examine the determinants of HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing industry.
2.1. The Structural Functionalism Perspective

Structural functionalism perspective emphasizes the importance of internal factors affecting HR practices. It has also been a major theoretical perspective used to account for the activities performed by the HR department (Tsui and Milkovich, 1987; Darmer, 2000). In this framework, the HR function is well suited to the strategic objective of the functional organization, which produces a limited line of products or services in as efficient way as possible (Miles and Snow, 1984). Thus, efficiency and cost effectiveness become the guiding philosophy in the management of HR for the attainment of organizational objectives (Miles and Snow, 1984; Gowler and Legge, 1986; Kamoche, 1991). Because of the focus on internal factors, the effects of external factors are not explicitly considered.

2.2. The Strategic Contingency Perspective

Strategic contingency theory highlights critical contingencies in the firm’s external environment. The underlying assumption is that organizations have highly permeable boundaries, and HR practices respond directly to environmental demands. The buffering role of organizational strategy is not considered (Tsui and Milkovich, 1987). That is, HR practices are seen as a reaction to critical external pressures such as legal requirements and union activities (Jacoby, 1983; Kochan et al., 1984; Kane and Palmer, 1995). This perspective does not place any emphasis on internal factors in determining HR practices.

2.3. The Strategic Human Resource Management Perspective

In recent years, at both practical and theoretical levels, human resource management and strategic management have been integrated. This led to a new line of research denominated as strategic human resource management (SHRM). The idea is that human resource should be considered as a strategic factor, not only for its role in putting managerial strategy into effect, but also for its potential as a source of sustainable competitive advantage (Wang and Shyu, 2008).

SHRM involves designing and implementing a set of internally consistent policies and practices to ensure that firm human resources contribute to achieving business objectives (Chang and Huang, 2005). The basic premise underlying SHRM is that organizations adopting a particular strategy require HR practices that are different from those required by organizations adopting alternative strategies (Tichy et al., 1982; Miles and Snow, 1984; Dyer, 1984; Baird and Meshoulam, 1988; Peck, 1994; Sully et al., 1996; Delery and Doty, 1996; Grundy, 1997; Wright and Snell, 1998).
Both internal and external environments are considered in light of the organization's mission and purpose. Once organizational strategies are determined, HR management strategy represents an integral part of achieving this broader organizational strategy (Kane and Palmer, 1995). Important HR practices common to much of the theoretical work in SHRM can be identified as; internal career opportunities, training, results oriented appraisals, profit sharing, employment security, and participation. By focusing principally on business strategies, the SHRM view systematically ignores other organizational contextual variables that may have important direct effects on HR department activities (Tsui and Milkovich, 1987).

2.4. The Multiple Constituency Perspective

All three views discussed above may be useful in examining the variety of activities performed by the HR department. Each view tends to emphasize a different set of determinants. However, economic and organizational contexts of HR practices are more complex and dynamic than those depicted by any of the three perspectives individually.

The multiple constituency approach treats HR department as the unit of analysis and defines environment in terms of the plurality and complexity of constituencies’ expectations (Tsui and Milkovich, 1987). Constituents may include both insiders and outsiders and may be defined as any individual, groups of individuals, or organization with a special stake or claim against an organization.

According to Tsui and Milkovich (1987), the HR department must engage in strategic transactions with its constituencies. This is because its ultimate survival will depend on its ability to meet stakeholders’ expectations or demands. Therefore, in contrast to the other perspectives, according to this perspective, HR practices are influenced by both internal and external factors. Furthermore, types of factors and their relative importance will vary with the country and sector where the firms operate, sizes of the firms, and nature of the technology used in the production process.

3. DETERMINANTS OF HR PRACTICES

External and internal factors affecting HR practices differ significantly across countries. Indeed, within both national and organizational contexts there exists extensive diversity in HR practices. The differences in practices are linked to environmental and organizational characteristics including size of firm, industrial sector, type of economic activity, managerial ideology, national culture, business strategy, organizational structure, and age of organization (Poole and Jenkins, 1997). The diversity of practices is reflected in the wide range of models of HR and the changing influences of different factors on HR practices.
Some of the major potential influences are summarized below.

3.1. External Factors

The market environment has been extremely turbulent during the past decade. Therefore, to maintain continuous success in the face of rapidly changing global conditions, firms must identify and analyze environmental characteristics and develop HR practices to meet changing market needs (Chang and Huang, 2005). External factors affecting HR practices are those pressures on firms that cannot be controlled and changed in a favorable way in the short run (Kane and Palmer, 1995). External factors are briefly explained below.

International and national economic changes: With the development of global economy, the international dimension of HR practices has become more and more significant. The focus of HR practices has shifted from traditional topics such as internal selection and rewards to concepts such as globalization and international competition (Satow and Wang, 1994).

Technological changes: Technology lies at the heart of manufacturing industry. It provides a series of business advantages (Garavan et al., 2008). As organizations have been faced with shrinking product life cycles in many industries, in many cases, they have started re-assessing their operational arrangements and managing processes (Power, 2004). This is because of the fact that technological advances alter jobs, create new skills, make occupations obsolete, and revise what employees need to learn and be trained to do (Milkovich and Boudreau, 1991; Watson and Green, 1996; Wong, 1997). In addition, technological developments alter the context of HR practices and the way they are implemented.

National culture: The increasing internationalization and globalization of business makes it more pressing than ever to understand how to establish HRM practices that can deal with cultural and national differences (Alas et al., 2008). Culture has a crucial importance in organizations’ preferences in developing appropriate structure and methods for their HR practices (Chandrakumara and Sparrow, 2004). Cultural factors cover a wide range of issues and differ significantly across countries (Yeganeh and Su, 2008). Wasti (1998) investigates the applicability of Japanese and American human resource practices in Turkey from a socio-cultural perspective. By comparing work-related values of the three countries, she emphasizes the contextual differences between developing and industrialized countries. She argues that Japanese HR practices are more compatible with Turkish societal characteristics than are their American or Western counterparts. On the other hand, intra-national differences existing in the same country also lead to variations in HR practices. For instance, Kozan (1999) points out that in Turkey a systematic, two-century-
long attempt to transform a traditional society has created a heterogeneous culture with major differences in values among various segments.

Industry/sector characteristics: In analyzing HR practices, classification of organizations as manufacturing and service firms is taken as the basis of the discussion. The idea behind this division is the fact that different production processes necessitate different HR practices.

Legislation/regulations: Legislation and regulations are frequently cited as having a direct impact on HR practices (Kane and Palmer, 1995). In Turkey, the constitution sets the basic rules regarding the operations and functions of organizations.

Actions of competitors: Differences in HR practices are likely to be found when comparing organizations that differ in the extent to which they are attempting to gain competitive advantage. There are many ways in which companies can gain a competitive edge or a lasting and sustained advantage over their competitors, among them being the development of comprehensive human resource practices (Jackson et al., 1989; Kane and Palmer, 1995; Poole and Jenkins, 1996; Narasimha, 2000).

Actions of unions: In order to analyze the factors affecting the HR practices, one also needs to consider the activities of unions. Some unions may seek to influence HR practices more directly than others. The presence or absence of unions in organizations is a salient variable known to be associated with some HR practices (Kochan et al., 1984). There are noticeable changes in industrial relations towards more employee-oriented relations. The question here is how to find room for the coexistence of HRM and industrial relations practices. This is crucial for finding a reasonable balance between the practices of collective bargaining and concrete employee centered employment relations (Alas et al., 2008).

3.2. Internal Factors

Internal environments of organizations strongly affect their HR practices. Internal factors specific to a firm influence its response to a given situation. The effect of a firm-specific variable upon HR practices will depend on the situation facing the firm at a given decision point. That is, one variable dominant at one stage may be substituted by another as circumstances change (Welch, 1994). Researchers have compiled a lengthy list of organizational characteristics related to HR practices (Milkovich and Boudreau, 1991). Internal factors included in the present study are briefly explained below.

Organization’s size: Organization’s size is an important factor explaining both the intensity and the type of HR practices (Garavan et al., 2008). Although HRM practices are a common feature within organizations, evidence suggests that there are a large number of small firms that do not practice them (McPherson,
HR practices in a sense are a result of growth and functional divisions. While one or two employees are enough for conducting HR practices in small size organizations, in large organizations, for each functional level there may be a need for a different HR department (Jackson et al., 1989; Kaynak, et al., 1998). Moreover, one of key areas of differences between small and large firms is in terms of organizational culture. This can affect a variety of HR practices, such as training, and reward systems (Ghobadian and Gallear, 1997).

Organizational structure: In response to global competition and a rapidly changing business environment, corporate strategies and structure are becoming more flexible and integrated. A firm’s strategy and structure are important in determining HR practices flexibility and integration. There are important structural differences among firms that affect the way in which HR practices are designed and implemented (Garwin, 1986; Tomer, 1995; Hudson, et al., 2001).

Business strategy: There is a two-way causality between business strategy and human resource decisions. Organizations generally use different competitive strategies in order to gain an advantage in the marketplace. These strategies are most effective when they are systematically coordinated with human resource management practices. Companies can improve their environment by making efficient choices about human resource practices that consistently support their chosen strategy (Milkovich and Boudreau, 1991; Schuler, 1992).

Human resource strategy: HR strategy is an important determinant of both intensity and diversity of HR practices (Garavan et al., 2008). HR strategy’s purpose is to help guide managers’ HR practices and thereby affect the success of the organization. The HR strategy of an organization refers to its fundamental approach toward its employees (Lengnick-Hall and Lengnick-Hall, 1988; Milkovich and Boureau, 1991). As a rule, HR practices are shaped in accordance with HR strategy.

History, traditions, and past practices: Resistance to change is an essential factor to be considered in any change process. Resistance is any conduct that tries to keep the status quo. A number of closely related factors, such as history, traditions and past practices tend to generate resistance to change in most organizations (Kane and Palmer, 1995; Pardo and Fuentes, 2003).

Top management: The influence of top management on HR practices is acknowledged by most writers, even if only to the extent of advising that top management’s support should be obtained (Ondrack and Nininger, 1984; Kane and Palmer, 1995). The management style, priorities, and practices within the organization significantly influence HR practices (Garavan et al., 2008).

Line management: A key to organizational success is the active participation of line management in designing and implementing HR activities. Since line managers are responsible for creating value, they should integrate HR practices
in their work (Okpara and Wynn, 2008; Alas et al., 2008). This requires a dynamic power and information sharing relationship between HR department and line managers (Gautam and Davis, 2007). There are four fundamental observations regarding the responsibilities of line management (Poole and Jenkins, 1997). First, line management has a considerable responsibility for HR practices. Second, the responsibility of line management changes over time. Third, line management has assumed a greater responsibility for HR issues with the rise of strategic HR management. Finally, organizations differ in terms of the responsibility of line management for HR practices.

Power and politics: The influence of power and politics is noted frequently, especially when considering why new policies and procedures are not implemented. Whether internal or external, the HR manager is faced with the task of identifying which of the array of stakeholders confronting the organization are the critical constituents of the HR practices. The key is identifying all of them and accurately determining their source of power.

Power and politics as exercised by various constituencies are crucial determinants of HR practices (Tsui and Milkovich, 1987). HR manager has the task of identifying which of stakeholders are the critical constituents of the HR practices (Crow et al., 1995; Kane and Palmer, 1995).

Academic/professional influences on HR practice: HR staff are often involved in the decision making process about HR policies and practices. Their knowledge about alternative HR practices may represent important variables in their own right (Kane and Palmer, 1995). Potential inputs to these knowledge bases include the written body of HR theory and research, what they have learned from experience, and information obtained from peers, particularly those working in the same industry. Another source of knowledge for HR is consulting firms.

Having examining the external and internal factors affecting HR practices, we can argue that the effects of these factors are not same in terms of both the extend of the effects and the channels through which the factors exert their effects. For instance, economic factors will affect wage determination and recruitment of new employees more than it will affect other aspects of HR practices. In order to determine which factor has more effect than other factors on HR practices we have conducted an empirical analysis on the Turkish manufacturing industry. In the empirical work below, we have aimed at determining dominant factors in terms of both general HR practices and sub practices individually.
4. METHODOLOGY

4.1. The Model

On the basis of the above analysis we construct a simple model shown in Figure 1. In the model, HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing industry are taken as a function of external, internal, and control variables. Figure 1 depicts this functional relationship in a diagrammatic form. External and internal variables included in the research model are selected following Kane and Palmer (1995). Several control variables are also included in the model. First control variable is firms’ market orientations. Market orientation affects characteristics of employment, and therefore HR practices. A measure of organizational size is included in the model because size of a firm is considered to be correlated with some HR practices (Jackson et al., 1989). Other possible control variables are existence of total quality management (TQM), organizational mission, and an overall HR strategy. Finally, the model takes the practices regarding recruitment and selection, training and development, compensation and benefits, performance appraisal, career development, and industrial relations as the HR practices.

Figure 1: Determinants of HR Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Variables</th>
<th>HR Practices</th>
<th>External Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Market orientation</td>
<td>Recruitment and selection</td>
<td>International/National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Training and development</td>
<td>Economic Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existence of TQM Strategy</td>
<td>Compensation and benefits</td>
<td>Technological Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existence of an organizational mission</td>
<td>Performance appraisal</td>
<td>National Culture/Traditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existence of an overall HR strategy</td>
<td>Career development</td>
<td>Industry/Sector Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industrial Relations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Internal Factors
- Organizational Structure
- Organizational Strategy/Objectives
- History/Traditions/Past Practices
- Top Management

External Factors
- International/National Economic Changes
- Technological Changes
- National Culture/Traditions
- Industry/Sector Characteristics
4.2. Research Questions

Research questions have been derived from a review of the existing literature, which identified six major areas of HR practices—recruiting and selection, training and development, compensation and benefits, performance appraisal, career development, and industrial relations—as being important for organizations’ success. The research questions are:

(1) Which factors are the most effective in the HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing industry?

(2) Do the factors affecting HR practices vary according to:
   a) market orientation (national-international) of the firm?
   b) size of the firm?
   c) existence/non existence of a TQM strategy?
   d) existence/non existence of an organizational mission?
   e) existence/non existence of an HR strategy?

4.3. Choice of Industry

The Turkish economy can be grouped into a large number of industrial sectors, but the distinction between manufacturing based and service-based industries is one of the basic classifications. The manufacturing industry was selected for several reasons. First, we chose a single industry to control for between-industry differences. Second, the firm size varies significantly in the manufacturing industry. Third, while some firms produce a wide variety of products to a diverse set of customers, others produce a limited line of products to a largely homogeneous set of customers. Finally, in manufacturing industry HR practices show a great level of heterogeneity.

4.4. Data Collection and Sample

We have conducted a survey. The survey questions have been grouped into four parts. The first group questions were on information about the firm. The second group included questions about the HR department. The third group questions aimed at determining factors affecting HR practices. Finally, the fourth group questions aimed at evaluating each factor from the perspective of individual HR practice. The third and fourth group questions have been prepared according to Likert Scale.

We selected an initial stratified random sample of 300 manufacturing firms using the following procedure. The exact number of the firms operating in the Turkish manufacturing industry is not known. Therefore, we have determined the regions of Turkey where manufacturing industry firms cluster. Then, conducted face to face interviews during the spring of 2007 with the HR managers of the 300 hundred firms using a questionnaire. 217 of the managers
answered the questionnaire. Since the KMO value is 0.882, we can conclude that we have a satisfactory level of sampling adequacy.

4.5. Results

The survey results show that 42.4% of the firms is national market, 43.3% is international market, and 14.3% is both national and international market oriented firms. In terms of the years of experience, 31.3% of the firms is 1-9 years, 47.5% is 10-29 years, and 21.2% is 30+ years old firms. We define firms that employ 0-49 people as small sized, 50-249 people as medium sized, and 250+ as large sized firms. On the basis of this definition, 34.6% of the firms are small sized, 45.7% is medium sized, and 19.7% is large sized firms. 49.4% of the firms employ TQM for 1-3 years, 27.5% for 4-6 years, 6.9% for 7-9 years, and 8.9% for 10+ years. Finally, 51.9% of the firms have a written organizational mission and 36.1% has a written overall HR strategy.

Table 1 and Table 2 present the means, standard deviations, and correlations among the responses to the various possible influences on HR practices. The scale ranges from 1=no impact through 5=great impact. We assume influences with mean score of 3.0 or above as representing relatively major influences. The correlations in Table 1 and Table 2 point to a range of significant relationships among external variables, internal variables, and control variables. Assuming that 0.5 and above correlation score is significant, we can make the following list of correlations.

Above correlations reveal that HR theory/research and education/training in HR are two important variables that affect each other and overall HR strategy. This result indicates that HR managers in the Turkish manufacturing industry follow the developments in HRM theory and put those developments in formulating their organizations’ HR strategies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influences on HR</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Changes in International Economy</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Changes in Technology</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Changes in National Economy</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. National Culture Traditions</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Industry/Sector Characteristics</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Legislation/Regulation</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Actions of Unions</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Actions of Competitors</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: N=217 for all items. All correlations of 0.14 or greater are significant at p < 0.05, All correlations of 0.18 or greater are significant at p < 0.01.
Table 2: Major Influences of Internal Factors on HR Practices-Descriptive Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influences on HR</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. Organizational Mission/Purpose</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Organizational Strategy/Objectives</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Actions of Corporate Headquarters</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Size of the Organization</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. History/Traditions/Past Practices</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Priorities of Top Management</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Priorities of Line Management</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Issues of Power and Politics</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Impact of HR Theory/Research</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Impact of Education/Training in HR</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Impact of Professional Organizations</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Impact of an Overall HR Strategy</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. HR Staff’s Experiences in Other Organizations</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Knowledge and Experience of HR Department Staff</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: N=217 for all items, All correlations of 0.14 or greater are significant at p=0.05, All correlations of 0.18 or greater are significant at p=0.01
In order to investigate these relations further, an exploratory factor analysis (using varimax rotation) was conducted which identified factors with an eigenvalue greater than 1.0, and which explained 59 percent of the variance. The first factor accounts for 33.023% of the variance, the second 9.172%, the third 6.501%, the fourth 5.938%, and the fifth 4.735%.

The first factor can be called as “characteristics factor” since it includes variables such as the industry and sector characteristics, size of the firm, structure of the firm, and history, traditions, and past practices. The second factor can be called as “strategy and know-how factor” because it includes variables such as the impact of an overall HR strategy, impact of HR theory/research, impact of education/training in HR, and knowledge and experience of HR department staff. The third factor can be called as “economy and technology factor” since it includes the impacts of changes in national and international economy, and changes in technology. The fourth factor can be called as “power and politics factor” because it includes the effects of issues of power and politics, and priorities of top and line managements. Finally, the fifth factor can be labeled as “regulation and union factor” since it includes the impacts of government regulations and actions of unions. A very interesting result of the factor analysis is that the impact of national culture and traditions is not included in any of the five factors.

On the basis of mean scores one can conclude that priorities of top management are the most important factors that influence HR practices. This may be due to the fact that top managements in the Turkish manufacturing firms are also the owners of the firms. In terms of the mean scores, other important variables are the organizational strategy, legislations and regulations, characteristics of the industry and sector, actions of corporate headquarter, and size of the organization. Although Kane and Palmer (1995) find actions of unions and HR staff’s experience in other organizations as important factors, these two factors are not among the important factors affecting HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing industry. In Turkey, during the period starting with the military coup in 1980, the power of unions declined dramatically. As a result unions lost their overall influence in affecting firms’ actions regarding their employees. The lower employee turnover may be the reason why HR staff’s experience in other organizations does not emerge as an important factor. Although Turkey has implemented export oriented and open international economic policies since 1980, international economic changes do not appear as an important factor in influencing HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing industry. On the other hand, very same policies seem to lessen the importance of national culture and traditions.

To consider whether market orientation of the firm affects HR practices, firms were divided into two groups; national and international market oriented firms. On the basis of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), we find that the effect of HR staff’s experience in other organizations on HR practices differ according to the market orientation of the firm (p=0.002). In order to determine whether size
affects the influences of various factors, firms were grouped according to the number of employees (less than 50, 50-99, 100-249, 250-499, and 500 and more). The ANOVA results show that the influences of ‘changes in international economy’ (p=0.044), ‘history/traditions/past practices’ (p=0.022), and ‘priorities of top management’ (p=0.036) on HR practices change with the size of the firm. When we grouped the firms according to the existence/nonexistence of TQM strategy, ANOVA results indicate that existence of TQM strategy changes the influence of national culture/traditions (p=0.022) and HR staff’s experience in other organizations (p=0.037) on HR practices. An important factor affecting HR practices is the existence of a clearly stated organizational mission. ANOVA results to test whether existence of organizational mission changes the influences of other factors demonstrate that the influences of national culture/traditions (p=0.01) and power and politics (p=0.017) become more significant when there is a written organizational mission. Finally, ANOVA results indicate that existence of an HR strategy leads to changes in the influences of technological changes (p=0.027), national culture/traditions (p=0.01), organizational mission (p=0.012), organizational strategy (p=0.003), activities of company headquarters (p=0.016), size of the organization (p=0.015), structure of the organization (p=0.016), HR theory/research (p=0.001), education/training in HR (p=0.001), professional organizations (p=0.016), overall HR strategy (p=0.003), and knowledge and experience of HR department staff (p=0.007). The main indication of ANOVA results is that existence of an HR strategy is the most influential control variable.

Table 3 is constructed by choosing respondents who rated the influence of the factors on HR practices as 4 or 5 and also rated influences of those factors on the sub fields as 4 or 5. Table 3 reveals how different factors are seen as important in influencing specific areas of HR practices.

An interesting result of Table 3 is that the highest level of influence factors included falls on training and staff development. Also changes in national economy, sector characteristics, organizational mission and strategy, and size of the firm appear to have equally significant influences in all sub-areas of HR practices.
Table 3: Type of Influence and Areas of Impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influences on HR</th>
<th>Training and Staff Development</th>
<th>Pay and Benefits</th>
<th>Recruitment and Selection</th>
<th>Industrial Relations Issues</th>
<th>Career Development</th>
<th>Staff Appraisal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Changes in International Economy</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in Technology</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in National Economy</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Culture Traditions</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry/Sector Characteristics</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislation/Regulation</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actions of Unions</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actions of Competitors</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Mission/Purpose</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Strategy/Objectives</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actions of Corporate Headquarters</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of the Organization</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure of the Organization</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History/Traditions/Past Practices</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priorities of Top Management</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priorities of Line Management</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues of Power and Politics</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of HR Theory/Research</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of Education/Training in HR</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of Professional Organizations</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of an Overall HR Strategy</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Staff's Experiences in Other Organizations</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge and Experience of HR Department Staff</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. CONCLUSION

The literature review and survey analyses confirmed that HR practices (recruiting and selection, training and development, compensation and benefits, performance appraisal, career development, industrial relations) are related to internal and external factors. Our empirical results indicate that the most important internal factors affecting HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing industry are “priorities of top management”, “organizational strategy”, “actions of corporate headquarter”, and “size of the organization”. Important external factors, on the other hand, appear to be “legislations and regulations”, and “characteristics of the industry and sector”.

Of the factors affecting HR practices, the influence of “HR staff’s experience in other organizations” differs according to the market orientation of the firm. An important finding of our empirical analysis is that the influences of “changes in international economy”, “history/traditions/past practices”, and “priorities of top management” change with the size of the firm. Our empirical results also show that existence of TQM strategy changes the influences of “national culture/traditions” and “HR staff’s experience in other organizations”. Furthermore, the influences of “national culture/traditions” and “power and politics” depend on the existence of a “clearly stated organizational mission”. Most importantly, according to our empirical findings, the influences of all internal and external factors on HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing industry vary with existence/non-existence an overall HR strategy. That is, an overall HR strategy emerges as the most important control variable.

In sum, the main implication of our study is that both internal and external factors have significant influences on the HR practices in the Turkish manufacturing industry. From a theoretical perspective, therefore, we can argue that different HR approaches are not mutually exclusive, but in fact they complete each other in explaining factors affecting HR practices.
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